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Abstract
Employee Engagement is an important strategy on increasing company performance. The aims of this research is to elaborate influences of leadership styles and work-life balance to the employee engagement; also influence of employee engagement to the employee performance. To achieve this aim, the data was collected from 204 employees using questionnaire as instrument of research. The collected data was analyzed using SPSS and Structural Equation Modeling. This research conducted in steel industry. From this research can be concluded that work-life balance have most significant influence to employee engagement, and employee engagement have strong influence to the employee performance. By increasing leadership styles and work-life balance, the company could improve employee engagement. Therefore, the company management can utilize this research result for increasing employee performance and company competition.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, employee management become important factor for employer growth. Especially, company that uses high technology operation have higher dependence on the employee performance and quality. Many companies started to focus on how to make employee more engaged and motivated for the employee best performances. Therefore, employee performance is strongly influenced by how engaged the employee to the company, usually called employee engagement.

Employee engagement is one of the topics that frequently discussed in recent research about employee performances. Employee engagement is considered to be factor to company’s success in improving the employee performances. (Lockwood, 2007) explain that engagement affects employee performances. Engagement is an elusive force that motivates and individual to achieve higher levels of performance. Engaged employees will think that they have great influence on the company, and it will motivate them to go extra miles for the company.

Employee can be more motivated and engaged by various factor that occur during their work. The most common variables that affects employee engagement include, communication, learning and development, leadership, teamwork, job role, managing performance, people practice, customer focus, brand alignment and career opportunities (Hewitt, 2011). Employees will be more motivated if they have good leader who can direct them clearly and guide them how to solve their problem. An ideal leader will make employees feel that they are an important part of the
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company. Another factor that can influence employee engagement is Work-life Balance. Work life balance can affect the employee engagement because employee must enjoy, healthy and happy if they want to achieve high productivity. Problem in their private life can affect their performance in work. The more flexible the company, employee can manage their private and work life easier.

This study discussed about employee engagement in company especially company that uses high technology operation. This study reviews recent findings as a basis in determining the factor affecting employee performance and determining the framework model. Factor affecting employee engagement and employee performance is discussed in this study. There are two factors discussed in this paper is leadership and work life balance. Furthermore, the framework model already made in this research and be tested to prove the model.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Leadership Styles

Leadership styles is the combination of attitude and behavior of a leader, which leads to certain action in dealing with the subordinates (Demirtas & Akdogan, 2015). A good leader knows how to motivate the employee in achieving the goals of the organization, and that will help organization achieve their goals. Each leadership styles bring different consequences, which have direct and indirect impact on the employee’s attitude and behavior (Yukl Gary, 2009). Good leader shows caring and appreciation to his employee. Good leader value his employee and the employee feel valued by their leader. If the employee feel valued for their contribution, it will affect their performance, less conflict, positive communication, and improved results in achieving organization’s goal (Özer & Tınaztepe, 2014).

2.2. Work-life Balance

Many research shows that good work-life balance pushes organization performance to a good direction, increase work satisfaction and improve organization commitment (Casper, Harris, Taylor-Bianco, & Wayne, 2011). Work-life Balance is defined by balanced relationship in every aspect of attention, time, involvement and commitment. (Greenhaus & Powell, 2006). Specifically, Work-life balance is defined by balanced time allocation and psychology energy in and outside work (Clark, 2000). Characteristic of Work-life Balance is the presence of improved role and low of role conflict in and outside work.

(Alrowwad, Obeidat, Al-Khateeb, & Masa’deh, 2018) stated that work-life balance is like balanced scale that one side is work life and the other side is personal life. As an addition, work-life balance can be interpreted as an idea that cover suitability between work, such as aspiration and career, and lifestyle, such as health, family, hobby, and others.

According to (Sharma & Nayak, 2016) Work-life Balance is one of important variable from Turnover Intension. Work-life balance development indicates how organization believe that work life balance is important. Organization could improve policy of work-life balance for the employee so that they could balancing their work and personal life. Moreover, employee that have flexibility in their work time get less stressed, and conflict in their workplace. If the employee could manage and balanced their work in organization without getting stressed, they called to have a work-life balance.

(Connie, 2015) told that work-life balance strategy is individually important to managed health and welfare issue in workplace. Existing work-life balance policies and programs need to be evaluated because employee welfare and work-life balance affect to employee and organization performance. Work-life balance is stability of personal life and working life according to (Iqbal, Zia-ud-Din, Arif, Raza, & Ishtiaq, 2017). Individual routine life usually consist of working life, and life outside of work, such as family life and friend life.

2.3. Employee Engagement

Based on (Karatepe, Yavas, Babakus, & Deitz, 2018) and (Macey & Schneider, 2008), employee engagement is how
far the employee fully involved in their job in order to improve their commitment to their job and company. The employees that involved in the job and committed to work to reach excellent company competition by working highly productive, better service, and reducing the employee turnover.

Engagement is the energy that is needed by the employee get involved in their job to get better performance.(Rich & Lepine, 2010). Moreover, engagement is employee positive behavior when they get support form the company and culture. Engagement is used in many terms in the researches such as personal engagement. Employee Engagement according to (Bakker, 2011), engagement is a process to create employee character in their role to solve their job. In employee engagement, employee use and express themselves physically, cognitively and emotionally.

2.4. Employee Performance

Performance is importance to us as people and as organisations. Often performance is identified or equated with effectiveness and efficiency. As the performance of an organisation is dependent on the quality of the workforce at all levels of the organisations (Nickols, 2016). According to (Saeed et al., 2013), performance measurement is an ongoing activity for all managers and their subordinates, the measurement and its indicators are: quality, quantity, timeliness and cost-effectiveness. Employee Performance is the successful completion of task by a selected individual or individuals, as set and measured by a supervisor or organisation, to pre-defined acceptable standards while efficiently and effectively utilizing available resource within a changing environment.(Thao & Hwang, 2010).

Based on (Kelidbari, Dizgah, & Yusefi, 2015) defined employee performance as the important part in the organization so that the manager need to be focused to manage it. Moreover, (Bayraktaroğlu, 2013) state that performance is important factor for laying the foundation of organization. Performance must be critically analyzed so that employees get success. Same topic stated by (Muda, Rafiki, & Harahap, 2014) that employee performance is whole performance in fulfilling expected quality based on policy and time. It is also stated by (Kelidbari, Dizgah, & Yusefi, 2015) that performance could be used as comparison for promotion, redundancy, appreciation, sanction, judgement, and salary adjustment.

3. Conceptual Model and Research Hypotheses

Ciência & Tecnologia dos Materiais accepts 1) Original Research Papers (Regular Papers); 2) Review Articles; and 3) Technical Notes.

This study is about independent variable of Leadership styles are derived from a study of (Alkhawaja, 2017), (Yukl Gary, 2009), in which there are 4 dimensions. Another independent variable is work-life balance is derived from (Bulger, Matthews, & Hoffman, 2007), (Greenhaus & Powell, 2006), in which there are 2 dimensions. Employee Engagement is evaluated based on model of (Lo & Becker, 2011), (Saks, 2019), in which there are 3 dimensions. Employee Performance is evaluated based on (Daft Richard, 2010), (Dessler Gary, 2011), in which there are 4 dimensions. Relationship of 4 latent variable explained in Fig 1.
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Fig. 1. Conceptual Model Research
3.1. Research Hypotheses

- Leadership style effects on Employee Engagement.
- Work-life Balance effects on Employee Engagement.
- Leadership style effects on Employee Performance.
- Work-life Balance effects on Employee Performance.
- Employee Engagement effects on Employee Performance.
- Leadership style through Employee Engagement effects on Employee Performance.
- Work-life Balance through Employee Engagement effects on Employee Performance.

4. Methodology

The respondents of the research is included 204 employees who work in steel industry, which is located in Banten Province of Indonesia. The collected data was then analyzed using SPSS 20 and structural equation modelling (SEM) on Lisrel. SEM is used because previous literature regarded it as powerful statistical technique for generating more accurate and reliable findings (Kline, 2011), (Hair., Black, Babin, & Anderson, 2014). Besides, SEM has recently emerged as a new generation tool to analyze the data and it has received a high attention from several scholars, particularly for studies that contain intervening variables. Data collection tool is closed questionnaires with Likert’s five-option spectrum. 204 questionnaires were collected and 204 valid questionnaires were analyzed. Content validity was used to determine the validity, Cronbach’s Alpha was used to determine the reliability, and these amounts for components more than 0.7 (Wijanto, 2015).

5. Data Analysis

5.1. Describing the demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Classification</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>98 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Less than 30 years</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>39.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 to 40 years</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>83.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work experience</td>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>37.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>25.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 to 20 years</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>204</td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2. Testing the hypotheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation between variable of research</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>Direct Effect</th>
<th>Indirect Effect</th>
<th>Total Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Styles → Employee Engagement</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-life Balance → Employee Engagement</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Styles → Employee Performance</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-life Balance → Employee Performance</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Engagement → Employee Performance</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership styles → Employee Engagement → Employee Performance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work-life Balance → Employee Engagement → Employee Performance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion and Conclusion

This result showed that leadership styles has a positive effect on employee engagement. The results also showed that leadership styles a positive effect on employee performance and the effect of leadership through employee engagement on employee performance is more than its direct effect on employee performance. So the employee engagement plays well the role of mediator. The research showed the same results on work-life balance effect as well as leadership styles effect through employee engagement on employee performance. So the employee engagement also plays well the role of mediator. The result of this research was in line with the results of research conducted by (Bedarkar & Pandita, 2014), (Lockwood, 2007), (Hassan, 2016), (Popli & Rizvi, 2016), (Amoako-asiedu & Obuobisa-darko, 2017), thus work-life balance have important role to the employee engagement. Balance between work life and personal life have big impact to the employee engagement, therefore employees give more effort in their job for improving organization performance. Leadership styles also have important role to the employee engagement. Leadership is the main tool of improving organization and leading them of effectiveness and efficiency. Leadership style is a combination of characteristics, skills and behavior that the managers uses them in order to interact with his employees. The leaders, who stimulate innovation through creating modern perspectives, motivate the followers and give them responsibility and commitment, will guarantee the organisation’s survival and promote its growth.
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